arXiv:1704.04716v1 [math.NA] 16 Apr 2017

Energy estimates for two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation

Minghua Chen*, Wenshan Yu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China

Abstract

The fractional wave equation governs the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media which exhibits a power-law creep, and consequently provided a physical interpretation of this equation in the framework of dynamic viscoelasticity. In this paper, we first develop the energy method to estimate the one-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation. For two-dimensional cases with the variable coefficients, the discretized matrices are proved to be commutative, which ensures to carry out of the priori error estimates. The unconditional stability and convergence with the global truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2+h^2)$ are theoretically proved and numerically verified. In particulary, the framework of the priori error estimates and convergence analysis are still valid for the compact finite difference scheme and the nonlocal wave equation.

Keywords: Riesz fractional wave equation; Nonlocal wave equation; Priori error estimates; Energy method; Numerical stability and convergence

1. Introduction

The fractional wave equation is obtained from the classical wave equation by replacing the second-order derivative with a fractional derivative of order α, $1<\alpha\leq 2$. Mainardi [18] pointed out that the fractional wave equation governs the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media which exhibits a power-law creep, and consequently provided a physical interpretation of this equation in the framework of dynamic viscoelasticity. In this paper, we study a second-order accurate numerical method in both space and time for the two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation with the variable coefficients whose prototype is, for $1<\alpha,\beta\leq 2$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y,t)}{\partial t^2}=a(x,y)\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x,y,t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}+b(x,y)\frac{\partial^\beta u(x,y,t)}{\partial |y|^\beta}+f(x,y,t). \tag{1.1}$$

The initial conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} &u(x,y,0)=\varphi(x,y) \quad \text{for} \quad (x,y)\in\Omega,\\ &u_t(x,y,0)=\psi(x,y) \quad \text{for} \quad (x,y)\in\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u(x,y,t)=0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,y)\in\partial\Omega$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail: chenmh@lzu.edu.cn; yuwsh14@lzu.edu.cn

with $\Omega = (0, x_r) \times (0, y_r)$. The function $f(x, y, t)$ is a source term and all the coefficients are positive, i.e., $0 < a_0 \le a(x, y) \le a_1$ and $0 < b_0 \le b(x, y) \le b_1$.

The space-Riesz fractional derivative appears in the continuous limit of lattice models with long-range interactions [29], for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n - 1 \le \alpha < n$, which is defined as [24]

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x,y,t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} = -\kappa_\alpha \left({}_0D_x^\alpha + {}_xD_{x_r}^\alpha \right) u(x,y,t) \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\cos(\alpha\pi/2)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0D_x^\alpha u(x,y,t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \int_0^x (x-\xi)^{n-\alpha-1} u(\xi,y,t) d\xi, \\ {}_xD_{x_r}^\alpha u(x,y,t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \int_x^{x_r} (\xi-x)^{n-\alpha-1} u(\xi,y,t) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

For the Caputo-Riesz time-space fractional wave equation ${}^cD_t^\gamma u(x,t) = \frac{\partial^\alpha u(x,t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}$ with $1 < \alpha, \gamma \le 2$, Mainardi (2001) et al. obtained the fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation [19]. Metzler and Nonnenmacher (2002) investigated the physical backgrounds and implications of a space-and time-fractional diffusion and wave equation [21]. The numerical solution of space-time fractional diffusion-wave equations are discussed in [1, 11], but they are lack of the stability and convergence analysis. To rewrite the fractional diffusion-wave equation as the the Volterra type integro-differential equations, the stability and convergence analysis are given with the zero initial conditions [5]. For $1 < \gamma < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$, it has been proposed by various authors [6, 7, 16, 20, 22, 30, 33, 34, 35]. For example, based on the second-order fractional Lubich's methods [17], Cuesta (2006) et al. derived the second-order error bounds of the time discretization in a Banach space with the $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ a sectorial operator [7] and Yang (2014) et al. obtained the second-order convergence schemes with $1 \le \gamma \le 1.71832$ [33]. For $\gamma = 2$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, it seems that achieving a second-order accurate scheme for (1.1) is not an easy task with the nonzero initial conditions. This paper focuses on providing the weighted numerical scheme to solve the space-Riesz fractional wave equation with the nonzero initial conditions and the variable coefficients in one-dimensional and two-dimensional cases. The unconditional stability and convergence with the global truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2 + h^2)$ are theoretically proved and numerically verified by the energy method, which can be easily extended to the nonlocal wave equation [10].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section proposes the second-order accurate scheme for (1.1). In Section 3, we carry out a detailed stability and convergence analysis with the second order accuracy in both time and space directions for the derived schemes. To show the effectiveness of the schemes, we perform the numerical experiments to verify the theoretical results in Section 4. The paper is concluded with some remarks in the last section.

2. Discretization Schemes

Let the mesh points $x_i = ih$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x$, and $t_k = k\tau$, $0 \le k \le N_t$ with $h = x_r/N_x$, $\tau = T/N_t$, i.e., h is the uniform space stepsize and τ the time stepsize. And u_i^k denotes the approximated value of $u(x_i, t_k)$, $a_i = a(x_i)$, $f_i^k = f(x_i, t_k)$.

Nowadays, there are already many types of high order discretization schemes for the Riemann-Liouville space fractional derivatives [2, 12, 14, 23, 26, 28, 31]. Here, we take the following schemes to approach

(1.3), see in [3, 31]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_0D_x^\alpha u(x_i) &= \delta_{x,+}^\alpha u(x_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{x,+}^\alpha u(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^\alpha}\sum_{m=0}^{i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u(x_{i-m+1}),\\
{}_xD_{x_r}^\alpha u(x_i) &= \delta_{x,-}^\alpha u(x_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{x,-}^\alpha u(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^\alpha}\sum_{m=0}^{N_x-i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u(x_{i+m-1}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_0^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2}g_0^\alpha, \quad \varphi_m^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2}g_m^\alpha + \frac{2-\alpha}{2}g_{m-1}^\alpha, \quad m \geq 1,
$$

and

$$
g_m^\alpha = (-1)^m\binom{\alpha}{m}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad g_0^\alpha = 1, \qquad g_m^\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{m}\right)g_{m-1}^\alpha, \quad m \geq 1.
$$

Using (1.3) and (2.1), we obtain the approximation operator of the space-Riesz fractional derivative

$$
\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x_i)}{\partial|x|^\alpha} = \nabla_h^\alpha u(x_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{2.2}
$$

with

$$
\nabla_h^\alpha u(x_i) = -\kappa_\alpha\left(\delta_{x,+}^\alpha + \delta_{x,-}^\alpha\right)u(x_i) = -\frac{\kappa_\alpha}{h^\alpha}\sum_{l=0}^{N_x}\varphi_{i,l}^\alpha u(x_l),
$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, N_x - 1$ (together with the zero Dirichlet boundary conditions) and

$$
\varphi_{i,l}^\alpha = \begin{cases}
\varphi_{i-l+1}^\alpha, & l < i-1,\\
\varphi_0^\alpha + \varphi_2^\alpha, & l = i-1,\\
2\varphi_1^\alpha, & l = i,\\
\varphi_0^\alpha + \varphi_2^\alpha, & l = i+1,\\
\varphi_{l-i+1}^\alpha, & l > i+1.
\end{cases}
$$

Taking $u = [u(x_1), u(x_2), \cdots, u(x_{N_x-1})]^{\mathrm{T}}$, and using (2.1), (2.2), there exists

$$
\frac{1}{h^\alpha}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{N_x}\varphi_{1,l}^\alpha u(x_l), \sum_{l=0}^{N_x}\varphi_{2,l}^\alpha u(x_l), \ldots, \sum_{l=0}^{N_x}\varphi_{N_x-1,l}^\alpha u(x_l)\right]^T = \left(\delta_{x,+}^\alpha + \delta_{x,-}^\alpha\right)u = \frac{1}{h^\alpha}A_\alpha u,
$$

it yields

$$
\nabla_h^\alpha u = -\kappa_\alpha\left(\delta_{x,+}^\alpha + \delta_{x,-}^\alpha\right)u = \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{h^\alpha}A_\alpha u, \tag{2.3}
$$

where the matrix

$$A_\alpha = B_\alpha + B_\alpha^T \quad \text{with} \quad B_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^\alpha & \varphi_2^\alpha & \varphi_3^\alpha & \cdots & \varphi_{N_x-2}^\alpha & \varphi_{N_x-1}^\alpha \\ \varphi_0^\alpha & \varphi_1^\alpha & \varphi_2^\alpha & \varphi_3^\alpha & \cdots & \varphi_{N_x-2}^\alpha \\ & \varphi_0^\alpha & \varphi_1^\alpha & \varphi_2^\alpha & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \varphi_3^\alpha \\ & & & \ddots & \varphi_1^\alpha & \varphi_2^\alpha \\ & & & & \varphi_0^\alpha & \varphi_1^\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

2.1. Numerical scheme for one-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation

We now examine the full discretization scheme to the one-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation, i.e,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = a(x)\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x,t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} + f(x,t) \tag{2.5}$$

with $0 < a_0 \le a(x) \le a_1$ and the zero Dirichlet boundary condition. The initial conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,0) &= \varphi(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x,0) &= \psi(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In the time direction derivative, we use the following center difference scheme

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = \delta_t^2 u(x_i,t_k) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_t^2 u(x_i,t_k) = \frac{u_i^{k+1} - 2u_i^k + u_i^{k-1}}{\tau^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to achieve an unconditional stable algorithm, we use the weighted algorithm for the space-Riesz fractional derivative, i.e.,

$$\theta u_i^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)u_i^k + \theta u_i^{k-1}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \le \theta \le 1,$$

to approximate $u(x_i,t_k)$. From (2.2) and the above equations, we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{u(x_i,t_{k+1}) - 2u(x_i,t_k) + u(x_i,t_{k-1})}{\tau^2} \\ &= a(x_i)\nabla_h^\alpha \left[\theta u(x_i,t_{k+1}) + (1-2\theta)u(x_i,t_k) + \theta u(x_i,t_{k-1})\right] + f(x_i,t_k) + R_i^k \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

with the local truncation error

$$R_i^k \le C_{u,\alpha}(\tau^2 + h^2), \tag{2.9}$$

where the constant $C_{u,\alpha}$ is independent of h and τ. Therefore, the full discretization of (2.5) has the following form

$$\delta_t^2 u_i^k = \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^k + \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k-1} + f_i^k, \tag{2.10}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & u_i^{k+1} + \theta\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha a_i \left[\sum_{m=0}^{i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i-m+1}^{k+1} + \sum_{m=0}^{N_x-i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i+m-1}^{k+1}\right] \\ & = 2u_i^k - (1-2\theta)\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha a_i \left[\sum_{m=0}^{i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i-m+1}^{k} + \sum_{m=0}^{N_x-i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i+m-1}^{k}\right] \\ & \quad - u_i^{k-1} - \theta\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha a_i \left[\sum_{m=0}^{i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i-m+1}^{k-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{N_x-i+1}\varphi_m^\alpha u_{i+m-1}^{k-1}\right] + \tau^2 f_i^k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Using (2.5), (2.6) and Taylor expansion with integral form of the remainder, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_i,\tau) &= u(x_i,0) + \tau\frac{\partial u(x_i,0)}{\partial t} + \frac{\tau^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u(x_i,0)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,t)}{\partial t^3}dt \\ &= \varphi(x_i) + \tau\psi(x_i) + \frac{\tau^2}{2}\left[a(x_i)\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x_i,0)}{\partial|x|^\alpha} + f(x_i,0)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,t)}{\partial t^3}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Then we can obtain u_i^1, i.e.,

$$u_i^1 = \varphi(x_i) + \tau\psi(x_i) + \frac{\tau^2}{2}\left[a(x_i)\nabla_h^\alpha u(x_i,0) + f(x_i,0)\right] \tag{2.13}$$

with the local truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3 + \tau^2 h^2)$, see Section 3.

For the convenience of implementation, we use the matrix form of the grid functions

$$U^k = \left[u_1^k, u_2^k, \ldots, u_{N_x-1}^k\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad F^k = \left[f_1^k, f_2^k, \ldots, f_{N_x-1}^k\right]^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Hence, the finite difference scheme (2.11) can be recast as

$$\left[I + \theta\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D A_\alpha\right]U^{k+1} = \left[2I - (1-2\theta)\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D A_\alpha\right]U^k - \left[I + \theta\frac{\tau^2}{h^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D A_\alpha\right]U^{k-1} + \tau F^k, \tag{2.14}$$

where A_α is defined by (2.4) and the diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_{N_x-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.15}$$

2.2. Numerical scheme for two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation

Let the mesh points $x_i = ih_x$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x$ and $y_j = jh_y$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y$ and $t_k = k\tau$, $0 \le k \le N_t$ with $h_x = x_r/N_x$, $h_y = y_r/N_y$, $\tau = T/N_t$. Similarly, we take $u_{i,j}^k$ as the approximated value of $u(x_i, y_j, t_k)$, $a_{i,j} = a(x_i, y_j)$, $b_{i,j} = b(x_i, y_j)$, $f_{i,j}^k = f(x_i, y_j, t_k)$. We use the center difference scheme to do the discretization in time direction derivative,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y,t)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{u_{i,j}^{k+1} - 2u_{i,j}^k + u_{i,j}^{k-1}}{\tau^2} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2),$$

and the weighted schemes for the space-Riesz fractional derivative, i.e., $\theta u_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)u_{i,j}^{k} + \theta u_{i,j}^{k-1}$ to approximate $u(x_i, y_j, t_k)$. Therefore (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1}) - 2u(x_i,y_j,t_k) + u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})}{\tau^2} \\
&= a(x_i,y_j)\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Big(\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1}) + (1-2\theta)u(x_i,y_j,t_k) + \theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\Big) \\
&\quad + b(x_i,y_j)\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\Big(\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1}) + (1-2\theta)u(x_i,y_j,t_k) + \theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\Big) + f(x_i,y_j,t_k) + R_{i,j}^{k},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where the local truncation error is

$$R_{i,j}^{k} \le C_{u,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2). \tag{2.17}$$

Similarly, we denote

$$\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} u(x_i,y_j) = -\kappa_\alpha\left(\delta_{x,+}^{\alpha} + \delta_{x,-}^{\alpha}\right)u(x_i,y_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} u(x_i,y_j) = -\kappa_\beta\left(\delta_{y,+}^{\beta} + \delta_{y,-}^{\beta}\right)u(x_i,y_j). \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, the resulting discretization of (1.1) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_t^2 u_{i,j}^{k} &= \theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} u_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} u_{i,j}^{k} + \theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} u_{i,j}^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \theta b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} u_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} u_{i,j}^{k} + \theta b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} u_{i,j}^{k-1} + f_{i,j}^{k},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[1 - \theta\tau^2\big(a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} + b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\big)\Big]u_{i,j}^{k+1} \\
&= \Big[2 + (1-2\theta)\tau^2\big(a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} + b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\big)\Big]u_{i,j}^{k} - \Big[1 - \theta\tau^2\big(a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} + b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\big)\Big]u_{i,j}^{k-1} + \tau^2 f_{i,j}^{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Using (2.12) and (2.13), we can obtain

$$u_{i,j}^{1} = \varphi(x_i,y_j) + \tau\psi(x_i,y_j) + \frac{\tau^2}{2}\left[\big(a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} + b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\big)u_{i,j}^{0} + f_{i,j}^{0}\right] \tag{2.21}$$

with the local truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3 + \tau^2 h_x^2 + \tau^2 h_y^2)$, see Section 3.

For the two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation (1.1), the relevant perturbation equation of (2.20) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Big)\Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\Big)u_{i,j}^{k+1} \\
&= \Big[2\Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Big)\Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\Big) + \tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} + \tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\Big]u_{i,j}^{k} \\
&\quad - \Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Big)\Big(1 - \theta\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\Big)u_{i,j}^{k-1} + \tau^2 f_{i,j}^{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Comparing (2.22) with (2.20), the splitting term is given by

$$\theta^2\tau^4 a_{i,j}b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}\big(u_{i,j}^{k+1} - 2u_{i,j}^{k} + u_{i,j}^{k-1}\big),$$

since $\big(u_{i,j}^{k+1} - 2u_{i,j}^{k} + u_{i,j}^{k-1}\big)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ term, it implies that the perturbation contributes an $\mathcal{O}(\tau^6)$ error

component to the truncation error of (2.20). Thus we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1})-2u(x_i,y_j,t_k)+u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})}{\tau^2}\\
&\quad+\theta^2\tau^4 a_{i,j}b_{i,j}\nabla^{\alpha}_{h_x}\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}\big(u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1})-2u(x_i,y_j,t_k)+u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\big)\\
&=a(x_i,y_j)\nabla^{\alpha}_{h_x}\Big(\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1})+(1-2\theta)u(x_i,y_j,t_k)+\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\Big)\\
&\quad+b(x_i,y_j)\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}\Big(\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1})+(1-2\theta)u(x_i,y_j,t_k)+\theta u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\Big)+f(x_i,y_j,t_k)+\widetilde{R}^k_{i,j}
\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}^k_{i,j}&=R^k_{i,j}+\theta^2\tau^4 a_{i,j}b_{i,j}\nabla^{\alpha}_{h_x}\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}\big(u(x_i,y_j,t_{k+1})-2u(x_i,y_j,t_k)+u(x_i,y_j,t_{k-1})\big)\\
&\le\widetilde{C}_{u,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^2+h_x^2+h_y^2).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

Hence, the system (2.22) can be solved by the alternating direction implicit method (D-ADI) [8, 9]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(1-\theta\tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla^{\alpha}_{h_x}\Big)u^*_{i,j}\\
&\quad=2u^k_{i,j}-u^{k-1}_{i,j}+\tau^2 a_{i,j}\nabla^{\alpha}_{h_x}\left((1-2\theta)u^k_{i,j}+\theta u^{k-1}_{i,j}\right)+\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}u^k_{i,j}+\tau^2 f^k_{i,j},\\
&\Big(1-\theta\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}\Big)u^{k+1}_{i,j}=u^*_{i,j}+\theta\tau^2 b_{i,j}\nabla^{\beta}_{h_y}\left(-2u^k_{i,j}+u^{k-1}_{i,j}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

where $u^*_{i,j}$ is an intermediate solution. Take

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}^k&=[u^k_{1,1},u^k_{2,1},\ldots,u^k_{N_x-1,1},u^k_{1,2},u^k_{2,2},\ldots,u^k_{N_x-1,2},\ldots,u^k_{1,N_y-1},u^k_{2,N_y-1},\ldots,u^k_{N_x-1,N_y-1}]^T,\\
\mathbf{F}^k&=[f^k_{1,1},f^k_{2,1},\ldots,f^k_{N_x-1,1},f^k_{1,2},f^k_{2,2},\ldots,f^k_{N_x-1,2},\ldots,f^k_{1,N_y-1},f^k_{2,N_y-1},\ldots,f^k_{N_x-1,N_y-1}]^T,
\end{aligned}$$

and denote

$$\mathcal{A}_x=I\otimes A_\alpha\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{A}_y=A_\beta\otimes I,\tag{2.26}$$

where I denotes the unit matrix and the symbol $\otimes$ the Kronecker product [15], and A_α, A_β are defined by (2.4). Therefore, we can rewrite (2.25) as the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(I+\theta\frac{\tau^2}{h_x^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D\mathcal{A}_x\Big)\mathbf{U}^*&=\Big(2I-(1-2\theta)\frac{\tau^2}{h_x^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D\mathcal{A}_x-\frac{\tau^2}{h_y^\beta}\kappa_\beta E\mathcal{A}_y\Big)\mathbf{U}^k\\
&\quad-\Big(I+\theta\frac{\tau^2}{h_x^\alpha}\kappa_\alpha D\mathcal{A}_x\Big)\mathbf{U}^{k-1}+\tau^2\mathbf{F}^k,\\
\Big(I+\theta\frac{\tau^2}{h_y^\beta}\kappa_\beta E\mathcal{A}_y\Big)\mathbf{U}^{k+1}&=\Big(2\theta\frac{\tau^2}{h_y^\beta}\kappa_\beta E\mathcal{A}_y\Big)\mathbf{U}^k-\Big(\theta\frac{\tau^2}{h_y^\beta}\kappa_\beta E\mathcal{A}_y\Big)\mathbf{U}^{k-1}+\mathbf{U}^*,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

where

$$D=\begin{bmatrix}D_1&&&\\&D_2&&\\&&\ddots&\\&&&D_{N_y-1}\end{bmatrix}\quad\text{with}\quad D_j=\begin{bmatrix}a_{1,j}&&&\\&a_{2,j}&&\\&&\ddots&\\&&&a_{N_x-1,j}\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & & & \\ & E_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & E_{N_y-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad E_j = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,j} & & & \\ & b_{2,j} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & b_{N_x-1,j} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. Convergence and Stability Analysis

To rewrite the fractional diffusion-wave equation as the the Volterra type integro-differential equations, the stability and convergence analysis are given with the zero initial conditions [5]. Here, we first develop the energy method to estimate the space-Riesz fractional wave equation with the nonzero initial conditions. For two-dimensional cases with the variable coefficients, the discretized matrices are proved to be commutative, which ensures to carry out of the priori error estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *[32] Let ∇_h^α be given in (2.3) and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then there exists an symmetric positive definite matrix Λ_h^α such that*

$$-(\nabla_h^\alpha u, u) > 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad -(\nabla_h^\alpha u, v) = (\Lambda_h^\alpha u, \Lambda_h^\alpha v) \ \text{ with } \ -\nabla_h^\alpha = \Lambda_h^\alpha \cdot \Lambda_h^\alpha.$$

Lemma 3.2. *(Discrete Gronwall Lemma [25]) Assume that $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ is a nonnegative sequence, and the sequence φ^k satisfies*

$$\varphi^0 \leq c_0, \ \varphi^k \leq c_0 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} b_l + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l \varphi^l, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $c_0 \geq 0$. Then the sequence $\{\varphi^k\}$ satisfies

$$\varphi^k \leq \left(c_0 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} b_l \right) \exp\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l \right), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Lemma 3.3. *[15, p. 141] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ have eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ have eigenvalues $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Then the mn eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ are*

$$\lambda_1\mu_1, \ldots, \lambda_1\mu_m, \lambda_2\mu_1, \ldots, \lambda_2\mu_m, \ldots, \lambda_n\mu_1 \ldots, \lambda_n\mu_m.$$

Lemma 3.4. *[15, p. 140] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r\times s}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times p}$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{s\times t}$. Then*

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD \quad (\in \mathbb{R}^{mr\times pt}).$$

Moreover, for all A and B, $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}_x = I \otimes A_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{A}_y = A_\beta \otimes I$ be defined by (2.26). Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_x\mathcal{A}_y = \mathcal{A}_y\mathcal{A}_x, \quad \Lambda_x\mathcal{A}_y = \mathcal{A}_y\Lambda_x \ \text{ and } \ \Lambda_x\Lambda_y = \Lambda_y\Lambda_x \ \text{ with } \ -A_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha \cdot \Lambda_\alpha, \quad -A_\beta = \Lambda_\beta \cdot \Lambda_\beta$$

where we denote $\Lambda_x := I \otimes \Lambda_\alpha$ and $\Lambda_y := \Lambda_\beta \otimes I$.

Proof. From [32] or Lemma 3.1, there exists $-A_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha \cdot \Lambda_\alpha$ and $-A_\beta = \Lambda_\beta \cdot \Lambda_\beta$, since $-A_\alpha$ and $-A_\beta$

are the symmetric positive definite matrices. Taking $\Lambda_x := I \otimes \Lambda_\alpha$ and $\Lambda_y := \Lambda_\beta \otimes I$ and using Lemma 3.4, the results are obtained. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}$ and $\nabla^\beta_{h_y}$ be given in (2.18) with $1 < \alpha, \beta \le 2$. Then there exist the symmetric positive definite matrices $\Lambda^\alpha_{h_x}$ and $\Lambda^\beta_{h_y}$, respectively, such that*

$$-(\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) > 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad -(\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = (\Lambda^\alpha_{h_x}\mathbf{U}, \Lambda^\alpha_{h_x}\mathbf{V}) \ \text{ with } \ -\nabla^\alpha_{h_x} = \Lambda^\alpha_{h_x} \cdot \Lambda^\alpha_{h_x},$$

and

$$-(\nabla^\beta_{h_y}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) > 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad -(\nabla^\beta_{h_y}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = (\Lambda^\beta_{h_y}\mathbf{U}, \Lambda^\beta_{h_y}\mathbf{V}) \ \text{ with } \ -\nabla^\beta_{h_y} = \Lambda^\beta_{h_y} \cdot \Lambda^\beta_{h_y}.$$

Proof. According to (2.18) and (2.26), it implied that

$$\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}\mathbf{U} = -\kappa_\alpha \left(\delta^\alpha_{x,+} + \delta^\alpha_{x,-}\right)\mathbf{U} = \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{h^\alpha_x}\mathcal{A}_x\mathbf{U}.$$

From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we know that $\mathcal{A}_x = I \otimes A_\alpha$ is a symmetric negative definite, which leads to $-\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}$ (or $-\nabla^\beta_{h_y}$) is the symmetric positive definite. The proof is completed. □

3.1. Convergence and stability for one-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation

First, we introduce some relevant notations and properties of discretized inner product given in [13, 27]. Denote $u^k = \{u^k_i | 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le k \le N_t\}$ and $v^k = \{v^k_i | 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le k \le N_t\}$, which are grid functions. And

$$u^k_{\bar{t},i} = (u^k_i - u^{k-1}_i)/\tau, \quad (u^k, v^k) = h\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} u^k_i v^k_i, \quad ||u^k|| = (u^k, u^k)^{1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\frac{1}{4} \le \theta \le 1$, $1 < \alpha \le 2$ and $\{u^k_i\}$ be the solution of the difference scheme*

$$\delta^2_t u^k_i = \theta a_i \nabla^\alpha_h u^{k+1}_i + (1-2\theta) a_i \nabla^\alpha_h u^k_i + \theta a_i \nabla^\alpha_h u^{k-1}_i + f^k_i$$

with the initial conditions and the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &u^0_i = \varphi_i, \quad 0 \le i \le N_x, \\ &u^1_i = \psi_i, \quad 0 \le i \le N_x, \\ &u^k_0 = 0, \quad u^k_{N_x} = 0, \quad 0 \le k \le N_t - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E^k \le e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau\sum_{l=1}^{k}||f^l||^2\right],$$

where the energy norm is defined by

$$E^k = \| u^{k+1}_{\bar{t}} \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda^\alpha_h u^{k+1} + \Lambda^\alpha_h u^k) \|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda^\alpha_h u^{k+1} - \Lambda^\alpha_h u^k) \|^2 .$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.10) by $h(u^{k+1}_i - u^{k-1}_i)$, respectively, it yields

$$\delta^2_t u^k_i \cdot \left[h(u^{k+1}_i - u^k_i) + h(u^k_i - u^{k-1}_i)\right] = h(u^{k+1}_{\bar{t},i})^2 - h(u^k_{\bar{t},i})^2,$$

and

$$\left[\theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k+1} + (1-2\theta) a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^k + \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k-1} + f_i^k\right] \cdot h(u_i^{k+1} - u_i^{k-1}).$$

Then summing up for i from 1 to $N_x - 1$ for the above equations, respectively, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} \left[h(u_{\bar{t},i}^{k+1})^2 - h(u_{\bar{t},i}^k)^2\right] = \| u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \|^2 - \| u_{\bar{t}}^k \|^2, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} \left[\theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k+1} + (1-2\theta) a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^k + \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha u_i^{k-1} + f_i^k\right] \cdot h(u_i^{k+1} - u_i^{k-1}) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$I_1 = \theta(a\nabla_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + a\nabla_h^\alpha u^{k-1}, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}), \quad I_2 = (1-2\theta)(a\nabla_h^\alpha u^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}).$$

According to Lemma 3.1, which leads to

$$I_1 = -\theta\left[a\Lambda_h^\alpha(u^{k+1} + u^{k-1}), \Lambda_h^\alpha(u^{k+1} - u^{k-1})\right] = -\theta\left(\| \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} \|^2 - \| \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1} \|^2\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= -(1-2\theta)\left[(a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1}) - (a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1})\right] \\ &= -\frac{(1-2\theta)}{4}\Big[(a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1}, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1}) - (a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1}, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1}) \\ &\quad - (a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) + (a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - a\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}, \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1})\Big] \\ &= -\frac{(1-2\theta)}{4}\Big(\| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \|^2 - \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \|^2 \\ &\quad - \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \|^2 + \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \|^2 \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Combine (2.10), (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\| u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \|^2 - \| u_{\bar{t}}^k \|^2 - I_1 - I_2 = (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}), \tag{3.4}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \|^2 + \theta \| \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} \|^2 + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}\left(\| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \|^2 - \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \|^2\right) \\ &= \| u_{\bar{t}}^k \|^2 + \theta \| \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1} \|^2 + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}\left(\| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \|^2 - \| \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \|^2\right) \\ &\quad + (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Adding $\theta \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k \parallel^2$ on both sides of the above equation, there exists

$$\begin{aligned}
&\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k \parallel^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2) \\
&= \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k} \parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1} \parallel^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \parallel^2) + (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned}
E^k = &\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k \parallel^2) \\
&+ \frac{1-2\theta}{4}\Big(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2 \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$E^k = \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^k) \parallel^2, \tag{3.5}$$

where we use

$$\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1} \parallel^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k + \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha u^k - \Lambda_h^\alpha u^{k-1}) \parallel^2\right).$$

From

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}) &= h\tau \sum_{i=1}^{N_x - 1} 2 f_i^k \left(\frac{u_i^{k+1} - u_i^{k-1}}{2\tau} \right) \\
&\le h\tau \sum_{i=1}^{N_x - 1} \left[\left(f_i^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_i^{k+1} - u_i^k + u_i^k - u_i^{k-1}}{2\tau} \right)^2 \right] \\
&\le \frac{\tau}{2}\left(\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k} \parallel^2 \right) + \tau ||f^k||^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and (3.5), (3.4), we obtain

$$E^k - E^{k-1} = (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}) \le \frac{\tau}{2}(E^k + E^{k-1}) + \tau ||f^k||^2,$$

i.e,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) E^k \le \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) E^{k-1} + \tau ||f^k||^2.$$

Therefore, for $\tau \le 2/3$, it yields

$$E^k \le \left(1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}\right) E^{k-1} + \frac{3}{2}\tau ||f^k||^2.$$

Using the discrete Gronwall inequality (see Lemma 3.2), we have

$$E^k \le e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau} \left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau \sum_{l=1}^{k} ||f^l||^2 \right].$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u(x_i, t_k)$ be the exact solution of (2.5) with $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{4} \leq \theta \leq 1$; u_i^k be the solution of the finite difference scheme (2.10) and $e_i^k = u(x_i, t_k) - u_i^k$. Then*

$$E^k = \mathcal{O}(\tau^2 + h^2)^2,$$

where the energy norm is defined by

$$E^k = \parallel e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha e^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha e^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha e^k) \parallel^2 .$$

Proof. Subtracting (2.10) from (2.8), it yields

$$\delta_t^2 e_i^k = \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha e_i^{k+1} + (1 - 2\theta) a_i \nabla_h^\alpha e_i^k + \theta a_i \nabla_h^\alpha e_i^{k-1} + R_i^k. \tag{3.7}$$

Using Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$E^k \leq e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau} \left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau \sum_{l=1}^{k} ||R^l||^2 \right], \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$E^k = \parallel e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha e^{k+1} + \Lambda_h^\alpha e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_h^\alpha e^{k+1} - \Lambda_h^\alpha e^k) \parallel^2 . \tag{3.9}$$

Next we estimate the local error truncation of E^0. Since $e_i^0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^1 &= \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left[a(x_i) \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x_i, 0)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} - \nabla_h^\alpha \varphi(x_i) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (\tau - t)^2 \frac{\partial^3 u(x_i, t)}{\partial t^3} dt \\ &= \frac{\tau^2}{2} a(x_i) C_{1,\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+2} u(\xi_i, t)}{\partial |x|^{\alpha+2}} h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (\tau - t)^2 \frac{\partial^3 u(x_i, t)}{\partial t^3} dt \leq C_{2,\alpha}(\tau^3 + \tau^2 h^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_i \in (0, x_r)$ and

$$C_{2,\alpha} = \max_{0 \leq x \leq x_r, 0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_1 \left| C_{1,\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+2} u(\xi_i, t)}{\partial x^{\alpha+2}} \right|, \frac{1}{6} \int_0^\tau \left| \frac{\partial^3 u(x_i, t)}{\partial t^3} \right| dt \right\},$$

it implies that

$$\parallel e_{\bar{t}}^1 \parallel^2 = \parallel \frac{e^1 - e^0}{\tau} \parallel^2 \leq (N_x - 1) h \frac{1}{\tau^2} C_{2,\alpha}(\tau^3 + \tau^2 h^2) \cdot C_{2,\alpha}(\tau^3 + \tau^2 h^2) \leq C_{2,\alpha}^2 x_r (\tau^2 + \tau h^2)^2. \tag{3.10}$$

Here, the coefficients $C_{l,\alpha}, 1 \leq l \leq 2$ are the constants independent of h and τ.

According to (2.2) and the above equations, there exists

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\| \sqrt{a}\Lambda_h^\alpha e^1 \|^2 = -(a\nabla_h^\alpha e^1, e^1) = -h\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} a_i \left(\nabla_h^\alpha e_i^1\right)\cdot e_i^1 \\
&= -h\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} a_i \sum_{l=0}^{N_x} \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{h^\alpha}\varphi_{i,l}^\alpha \left[\frac{a_i C_{1,\alpha}}{2}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,t)}{\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}\tau^2h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,t)}{\partial t^3}dt\right]\cdot e_i^1 \\
&= -h\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1} a_i \left[\frac{a_i C_{1,\alpha}}{2}\frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,t)}{\partial|x|^{2\alpha+2}}\tau^2h^2 + C_{3,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{2\alpha+4}u(\overline{\xi}_i,t)}{\partial|x|^{2\alpha+4}}\tau^2h^4\right]\cdot e_i^1 \\
&\quad - h\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\frac{a_i}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\left[\frac{\partial^{\alpha+3}u(x_i,t)}{\partial t^3\partial|x|^\alpha} + C_{4,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+5}u(\widetilde{\xi}_i,t)}{\partial t^3\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}h^2\right]dt\cdot e_i^1 \\
&\le C_{5,\alpha}(\tau^3+\tau^2h^2)\cdot C_{2,\alpha}(\tau^3+\tau^2h^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_i, \overline{\xi}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_i \in (0, x_r)$ and $C_{l,\alpha}, 1 \le l \le 5$ are the constants independent of h and τ. Using (3.9), (3.10) and the above equation, we have

$$E^0 \le C_\alpha^2 x_r(\tau^2+\tau h^2)^2 \tag{3.11}$$

with a constant C_α. From (2.9), (3.8) and (3.11), it means that

$$E^k \le e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[C_\alpha^2 x_r(\tau^2+\tau h^2)^2 + \frac{3}{2}k\tau C_{u,\alpha}^2(\tau^2+h^2)^2\right] \le \widetilde{C}_\alpha e^{\frac{3}{2}T}(\tau^2+h^2)^2$$

with $\widetilde{C}_\alpha = 2\max\{C_\alpha^2 x_r, \frac{3}{2}C_{u,\alpha}^2 T\}$. The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.2. *The difference scheme (2.14) with $1 < \alpha \le 2$ and $\frac{1}{4} \le \theta \le 1$ is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.7, the proof is completed. □

3.2. Convergence and stability for two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation

Denote $u^k = \{u_{i,j}^k | 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le j \le N_y, 0 \le k \le N_t\}$ and $v^k = \{v_{i,j}^k | 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le j \le N_y, 0 \le k \le N_t\}$, which are grid functions. And

$$u_{\bar{t},i,j}^k = (u_{i,j}^k - u_{i,j}^{k-1})/\tau, \qquad (u^k, v^k) = h_x h_y \sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1} u_{i,j}^k v_{i,j}^k, \qquad ||u^k|| = (u^k, u^k)^{1/2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\frac{1}{4} \le \theta \le 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta \le 2$ and $\{u_{ij}^k\}$ be the solution of the difference scheme*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\delta_t^2 u_{i,j}^k + \theta^2\tau^4 a_{i,j}b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^\alpha\nabla_{h_y}^\beta\left(u_{i,j}^{k+1} - 2u_{i,j}^k + u_{i,j}^{k-1}\right) \\
&= \theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^\alpha u_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^\alpha u_{i,j}^k + \theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^\alpha u_{i,j}^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \theta b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^\beta u_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta)b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^\beta u_{i,j}^k + \theta b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^\beta u_{i,j}^{k-1} + f_{i,j}^k
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}
$$

with the initial conditions and the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_{i,j}^0 = \varphi_{i,j}, \quad 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le j \le N_y, \\
&u_{i,j}^1 = \psi_{i,j}, \quad 0 \le i \le N_x, 0 \le j \le N_y, \\
&u_{i,j}^k = 0, \quad (x_i, y_j) \in \partial\Omega, \quad 0 \le k \le N_t - 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$E^k \le e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau\sum_{l=1}^{k}||f^l||^2\right],$$

where the energy norm is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}E^k = &\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}\parallel\sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^k)\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta-1)\parallel\sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^k)\parallel^2\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\parallel\sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^k)\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta-1)\parallel\sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^k)\parallel^2\\ &+\theta^2\tau^6\parallel\sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.13) by $h_xh_y(u_{i,j}^{k+1}-u_{i,j}^{k-1})$ and using Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, there exists

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\delta_t^2u_{i,j}^k+\theta^2\tau^4a_{i,j}b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}(u_{i,j}^{k+1}-2u_{i,j}^k+u_{i,j}^{k-1})\right)\cdot\left[h_xh_y(u_{i,j}^{k+1}-u_{i,j}^k)+h_xh_y(u_{i,j}^k-u_{i,j}^{k-1})\right]\\ &=h_xh_y(u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k+1})^2-h_xh_y(u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k})^2+h_xh_y\theta^2\tau^6(\sqrt{a_{i,j}b_{i,j}}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k+1})^2-h_xh_y\theta^2\tau^6(\sqrt{a_{i,j}b_{i,j}}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k})^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big[\theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^{k+1}+(1-2\theta)a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^k+\theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^{k-1}\\ &\quad+\theta u_{i,j}^{k+1}+(1-2\theta)b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{i,j}^k+\theta b_{i,j}\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{i,j}^{k-1}+f_{i,j}^k\Big]\cdot h_xh_y(u_{i,j}^{k+1}-u_{i,j}^{k-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Then summing up for i from 1 to N_x-1 and for j from 1 to N_y-1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}\left[h_xh_y(u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k+1})^2-h_xh_y(u_{\bar{t},i,j}^{k})^2\right]=\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2-\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k}\parallel^2,\\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}\left(h_xh_y\theta^2\tau^6(\sqrt{a_{i,j}b_{i,j}}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t},i,j}^{k+1})^2-h_xh_y\theta^2\tau^6(\sqrt{a_{i,j}b_{i,j}}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t},i,j}^{k})^2\right)\\ &=\theta^2\tau^6\parallel\sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2-\theta^2\tau^6\parallel\sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k})\parallel^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}\Big[\theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^{k+1}+(1-2\theta)a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^k+\theta a_{i,j}\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u_{i,j}^{k-1}\\ &\quad+\theta b_{i,j}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{i,j}^{k+1}+(1-2\theta)b_{i,j}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{i,j}^k+\theta b_{i,j}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{i,j}^{k-1}+f_{i,j}^k\Big]\cdot h_xh_y(u_{i,j}^{k+1}-u_{i,j}^{k-1})\\ &=I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+(f^k,u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&I_1=\theta\left(a\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}+a\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1},u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}\right),\ I_2=(1-2\theta)\left(a\nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k},u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}\right),\\ &I_3=\theta\left(b\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}+b\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1},u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}\right),\ I_4=(1-2\theta)\left(b\nabla_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k},u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 =& -\theta\left(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1}\parallel^2\right)\\
I_2 =& -\frac{(1-2\theta)}{4}\Big(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2\\
&-\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 =& -\theta\left(\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1}\parallel^2\right),\\
I_4 =& -\frac{(1-2\theta)}{4}\Big(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2\\
&-\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 - \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k}\parallel^2 + \theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 - \theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k})\parallel^2 - I_1 - I_2 - I_3 - I_4\\
&= (f^k, u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \theta\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}\parallel^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2)\\
&\quad+\theta\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2)\\
&= \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k}\parallel^2 + \theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k}\parallel^2 + \theta\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1}\parallel^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2)\\
&\quad+\theta\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}\parallel^2 + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2)\\
&\quad+(f^k, u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding $\theta\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}\parallel^2 + \theta\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}\parallel^2$ on both sides of the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}\parallel^2) + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2\\
&\quad-\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k})\parallel^2) + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}\parallel^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k+1}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k})\parallel^2) + \theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k+1}\parallel^2\\
&= \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k}\parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1}\parallel^2) + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2\\
&\quad-\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}u^{k-1})\parallel^2) + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}\parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1}\parallel^2)\\
&\quad+\frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}+\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k}-\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u^{k-1})\parallel^2)\\
&\quad+\theta^2\tau^6\parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta}u_{\overline{t}}^{k}\parallel^2 + (f^k, u^{k+1}-u^{k-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned}E^k = &\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k} \parallel^2) + \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2 \\ &- \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2) + \theta(\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k} \parallel^2 \\ &+ \frac{1-2\theta}{4}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2 - \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2) \\ &+ \theta^2\tau^6 \parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

we have

$$E^k = E^{k-1} + (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}). \tag{3.17}$$

We rewrite (3.16) as the following form

$$\begin{aligned}E^k = &\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta-1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta-1) \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \theta^2\tau^6 \parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where we use

$$\parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k} \parallel^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} u^{k}) \parallel^2),$$

and

$$\parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k} \parallel^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2 + \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} u^{k}) \parallel^2).$$

According to

$$\begin{aligned}(f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}) &= 2h_x h_y \tau \sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1} f_{i,j}^k \left(\frac{u_{i,j}^{k+1} - u_{i,j}^{k-1}}{2\tau}\right) \\ &\le h_x h_y \tau \sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1} \left[\left(f_{i,j}^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{i,j}^{k+1} - u_{i,j}^{k} + u_{i,j}^{k} - u_{i,j}^{k-1}}{2\tau}\right)^2\right] \\ &\le \frac{\tau}{2}\left(\parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \parallel u_{\bar{t}}^{k} \parallel^2\right) + \tau||f^k||^2,\end{aligned}$$

and (3.18), (3.17), there exists

$$E^k - E^{k-1} = (f^k, u^{k+1} - u^{k-1}) \le \frac{\tau}{2}(E^k + E^{k-1}) + \tau||f^k||^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) E^k \le \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) E^{k-1} + \tau||f^k||^2.$$

For $\tau \le 2/3$, which leads to

$$E^k \le \left(1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}\right) E^{k-1} + \frac{3}{2}\tau||f^k||^2.$$

From Lemma 3.2, there exists

$$E^k \leq e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau\sum_{l=1}^{k}||f^l||^2\right].$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $u(x_i, y_j, t_k)$ be the exact solution of (1.1) with $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$, $u_{i,j}^k$ be the solution of (2.22) and $e_{ij}^k = u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - u_{ij}^k$. Then*

$$E^k = \mathcal{O}(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2,$$

where the energy norm is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}E^k = &\parallel e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^k) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^k) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \theta^2\tau^6 \parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Subtracting (2.22) from (2.23), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\tau^2}\delta_t^2 e_{i,j}^k + \theta^2\tau^4 a_{i,j} b_{i,j} \nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} \nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} \left(e_{i,j}^{k+1} - 2e_{i,j}^k + e_{i,j}^{k-1}\right) \\ &= \theta a_{i,j} \nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} e_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1 - 2\theta) a_{i,j} \nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} e_{i,j}^k + \theta a_{i,j} \nabla_{h_x}^{\alpha} e_{i,j}^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \theta b_{i,j} \nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{i,j}^{k+1} + (1 - 2\theta) b_{i,j} \nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{i,j}^k + \theta b_{i,j} \nabla_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{i,j}^{k-1} + \widetilde{R}_{i,j}^k.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.8, there exists

$$E^k \leq e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[E^0 + \frac{3}{2}\tau\sum_{l=1}^{k}||\widetilde{R}^l||^2\right] \tag{3.19}$$

with the energy norm

$$\begin{aligned}E^k = &\parallel e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{a}(\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha} e^k) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^{k+1} + \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^k) \parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}(4\theta - 1) \parallel \sqrt{b}(\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^{k+1} - \Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e^k) \parallel^2 \\ &+ \theta^2\tau^6 \parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{\bar{t}}^{k+1} \parallel^2 .\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Next we estimate the local error truncation of E^0. Since $e_{i,j}^0 = 0$, $\theta^2\tau^6 \parallel \sqrt{ab}\Lambda_{h_x}^{\alpha}\Lambda_{h_y}^{\beta} e_{\bar{t}}^1 \parallel^2 = \mathcal{O}(\tau^6)$ in

(3.14) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^1_{i,j} &= \frac{\tau^2}{2}\Big[a(x_i,y_j)\left(\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x_i,y_j,0)}{\partial|x|^\alpha} - \nabla^\alpha_{h_x}\varphi(x_i,y_j)\right)\\
&\quad + b(x_i,y_j)\Big(\frac{\partial^\beta u(x_i,y_j,0)}{\partial|y|^\beta} - \nabla^\beta_{h_y}\varphi(x_i,y_j)\Big)\Big] + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3}dt\\
&= \frac{\tau^2}{2}\Big(a(x_i,y_j)C_{1,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,y_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}h_x^2 + b(x_i,y_j)C_{1,\beta}\frac{\partial^{\beta+2}u(x_i,\eta_j,t)}{\partial|y|^{\beta+2}}h_y^2\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3}dt \le C_{1,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the coefficients $C_{1,\alpha}$ and $C_{1,\beta}$ are the constants independent of h, τ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1,\alpha,\beta} = \max_{0\le x\le x_r, 0\le y\le y_r, 0\le t\le T}\Big\{&\frac{1}{2}a_1\Big|C_{1,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,y_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}\Big|,\\
&\frac{1}{2}b_1\Big|C_{1,\beta}\frac{\partial^{\beta+2}u(x_i,\eta_j,t)}{\partial|y|^{\beta+2}}\Big|, \frac{1}{6}\Big|\int_0^\tau \frac{\partial^3 u(x_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3}dt\Big|\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| e^1_{\bar t}\|^2 &= \| \frac{e^1-e^0}{\tau}\|^2\\
&\le (N_x-1)h_x(N_y-1)h_y\frac{1}{\tau^2}C_{1,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2)\cdot C_{1,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2)\\
&\le C^2_{1,\alpha,\beta}x_ry_r(\tau^2+\tau h_x^2+\tau h_y^2)^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

From (1.1) and the above equations, there exists

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\| \sqrt{a}\Lambda^\alpha_{h_x}e^1\|^2 = -(a\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}e^1,e^1) = -h_xh_y\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}a_{i,j}\left(\nabla^\alpha_{h_x}e^1_{i,j}\right)\cdot e^1_{i,j}\\
&= -h_xh_y\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}a_{i,j}\sum_{l=0}^{N_x}\frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{h_x^\alpha}\varphi^\alpha_{i,l}\Big[\frac{a_{i,j}C_{1,\alpha}}{2}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,y_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}\tau^2h_x^2\\
&\quad + \frac{b_{i,j}C_{1,\beta}}{2}\frac{\partial^{\beta+2}u(x_i,\eta_j,t)}{\partial|y|^{\beta+2}}\tau^2h_y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau(\tau-t)^2\frac{\partial^3u(x_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3}dt\Big]\cdot e^1_{i,j}\\
&= -h_xh_y\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}a_{i,j}\Big[\frac{a_{i,j}C_{1,\alpha}}{2}\frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2}u(\xi_i,y_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{2\alpha+2}}\tau^2h_x^2 + C_{2,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{2\alpha+4}u(\widehat{\xi}_i,y_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{2\alpha+4}}\tau^2h_x^4\\
&\quad + \frac{b_{i,j}C_{1,\beta}}{2}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+2}u(x_i,\eta_j,t)}{\partial|x|^\alpha|y|^{\beta+2}}\tau^2h_y^2 + C_{2,\alpha,\beta}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+4}u(\overline{\xi_i},\eta_j,t)}{\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}|y|^{\beta+2}}\tau^2h_x^2h_y^2\Big]\cdot e^1_{i,j}\\
&\quad - h_xh_y\sum_{i=1}^{N_x-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_y-1}\frac{a_{i,j}}{2}\int_0^\tau(\tau-t)^2\left[\frac{\partial^{\alpha+3}u(x_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3\partial|x|^\alpha} + C_{3,\alpha}\frac{\partial^{\alpha+5}u(\widetilde{\xi}_i,y_j,t)}{\partial t^3\partial|x|^{\alpha+2}}h_x^2\right]dt\cdot e^1_{i,j}\\
&\le C_{3,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2)\cdot C_{1,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_i,\widehat{\xi}_i,\overline{\xi_i},\widetilde{\xi}_i\in(0,x_r)$ and $C_{l,\alpha}$ and $C_{l,\alpha,\beta}, 1\le l\le 3$ are the constants. Similarly, we have

$$
\| \sqrt{b}\Lambda^\beta_{h_y}e^1\|^2 \le \widetilde{C}_{3,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2)\cdot\widetilde{C}_{1,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^3+\tau^2h_x^2+\tau^2h_y^2)
$$

with the constants $\widetilde{C}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$ and $\widetilde{C}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$.

According to (3.20), (3.21) and the above equations, we get

$$E^0 \le C^2_{\alpha,\beta} x_r y_r (\tau^2 + \tau h_x^2 + \tau h_y^2)^2, \tag{3.22}$$

where $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a constant. Hence, using (2.17), (3.19) and (3.22), there exists

$$\begin{aligned} E^k &\le e^{\frac{3}{2}k\tau}\left[C^2_{\alpha,\beta} x_r y_r (\tau^2 + \tau h_x^2 + \tau h_y^2)^2 + \frac{3}{2} k\tau C^2_{u,\alpha,\beta}(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2\right] \\ &\le \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\frac{3}{2}T}(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\beta} = 2\max\{C^2_{\alpha,\beta} x_r y_r, \frac{3}{2} C^2_{u,\alpha,\beta} T\}$. The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.4. *The difference scheme (2.27) with $1 < \alpha, \beta \le 2$ and $\frac{1}{4} \le \theta \le 1$ is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.7, the result is obtained. □

Remark 3.1. *The operator $\mathcal{L}$ appears in the nonlocal wave equation [10]*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \mathcal{L}_\delta u(x,t) = f_\delta(x,t) & \text{on } \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega \cup \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}, \\ u = g & \text{on } \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}, t > 0. \end{cases}$$

From [4], we known that the approximation operator of $-\mathcal{L}_\delta$ is also the symmetric positive definite. Hence, the framework of the stability and convergence analysis are still valid for the nonlocal wave equation.

4. Numerical results

In this section, we numerically verify the above theoretical results and the l_∞ norm is used to measure the numerical errors.

Example 4.1. *Consider the space-Riesz fractional wave equation (2.5), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \le 1$ with the coefficient $d(x) = x^\alpha$, the forcing function is*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,t) =& e^{-t}x^2(1-x)^2 \\ &+ \frac{x^\alpha e^{-t}}{2\cos(\alpha\pi/2)}\left[\Gamma(5)\frac{x^{4-\alpha} + (1-x)^{4-\alpha}}{\Gamma(5-\alpha)} - 2\Gamma(4)\frac{x^{3-\alpha} + (1-x)^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} + \Gamma(3)\frac{x^{2-\alpha} + (1-x)^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

with the initial conditions $u(x,0) = x^2(1-x)^2$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,0) = -x^2(1-x)^2$, and the boundary conditions $u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0$. The exact solution of the fractional PDEs is

$$u(x,t) = e^{-t}x^2(1-x)^2.$$

Table 1 shows that the scheme (2.14) is second order convergent in both space and time directions.

Example 4.2. *Consider the two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation (1.1), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < y < 1$, $0 < t \le 1/2$ with the variable coefficients*

$$d(x,y) = x^\alpha y, \quad e(x,y) = xy^\beta,$$

Table 1: The maximum errors and convergent orders for (2.14) with $\tau = h$.

τ	$\alpha = 1.3, \theta = 0.25$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.6, \theta = 0.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.9, \theta = 1$	Rate
1/40	8.8516e-05		9.0532e-05		7.5678e-05	
1/80	2.2156e-05	1.9983	2.2329e-05	2.0195	1.9487e-05	1.9574
1/160	5.5242e-06	2.0038	5.5161e-06	2.0172	4.7477e-06	2.0372
1/320	1.3761e-06	2.0052	1.3636e-06	2.0163	1.1581e-06	2.0355

Table 2: The maximum errors and convergent orders for (2.27) with $\tau = h_x = h_y$ and $\theta = 0.75$.

τ	$\alpha = 1.3, \beta = 1.7$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.5, \beta = 1.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.7, \beta = 1.3$	Rate
1/20	1.4066e-04		1.4066e-04		1.4500e-04	
1/40	3.8290e-05	1.8772	3.7449e-05	1.9093	3.7041e-05	1.9688
1/80	9.4992e-06	2.0111	9.4992e-06	1.9790	9.4992e-06	1.9632
1/160	2.4049e-06	1.9818	2.4049e-06	1.9818	2.4049e-06	1.9818

and the initial conditions $u(x, y, 0) = \sin(1)x^2(1-x)^2y^2(1-y)^2$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x, y, 0) = \cos(1)x^2(1-x)^2y^2(1-y)^2$ *with the zero Dirichlet boundary conditions on the rectangle. The exact solution of the PDEs is*

$$u(x, y, t) = \sin(t + 1)x^2(1 - x)^2y^2(1 - y)^2.$$

Using the above conditions, it is easy to obtain the forcing function $f(x, y, t)$. *Table 2 shows that the scheme (2.27) is second order convergent in both space and time directions.*

5. Conclusion

In this work we have developed the energy method to estimate the two-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation with the variable coefficients. To the best of our knowledge, the convergence and stability are lack of study for the one-dimensional space-Riesz fractional wave equation with the nonzero conditions. In this paper, the priori error estimates have been established and the convergence analysis and stability of the proposed method have been proved. For two-dimensional cases with the variable coefficients, the discretized matrices are proved to be commutative, which ensures to carry out of the priori error estimates. Numerical results have been given to illustrate the robustness and efficiency of the presented method with the second order convergence. We remark that though this current paper focus on the space-Riesz fractional wave equation, the energy estimates is still valid for the compact finite difference schemes and the nonlocal wave equation [10].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSFC 11601206, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No. lzujbky-2016-105, and SIETP 201710730065.

References

[1] Bhrawy, A.H., Zaky, M.A., Van Gorder, R.A.: A space-time Legendre spectral tau method for the two-sided space Caputo fractional diffusion-wave equation. Numer. Algor. **71**, 151-180 (2016).

[2] Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: Fourth order accurate scheme for the space fractional diffusion equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **52**, 1418-1438 (2014).

[3] Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: High order algorithm for the time-tempered fractional Feynman-Kac equation. arXiv:1607.05929.

[4] Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: Convergence proof for the multigird method of the nonlocal model. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl (minor revised). arXiv:1605.05481.

[5] Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: A second-order accurate numerical method for the space-time tempered fractional diffusion-wave equation. Appl. Math. Lett. **68**, 87-93 (2017).

[6] Chen, C., Thomée. V., Wahlbin. L.B.: Finite element approximation of a parabolic integro-differential equation with a weakly singular kernel. Math. Comput. **198**, 587-602 (1992).

[7] Cuesta, E., Lubich, Ch., Palencia, C.: Convolution quadrature time discretization of fractional diffusion-wave equations. Math. Comput. **75**, 673-696 (2006).

[8] Dougls, J.: On the numerical integration of $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = u_{tt}$ by implicit methods. J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. **3**, 42-65 (1955).

[9] Dougls, J.: Alternating direction methods for three space variables. Numer. Math. **6**, 428-453 (1964).

[10] Du, Q., Gunzburger, M., Lehoucq, R., Zhou, K.: Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints. SIAM Rev. **56**, 676-696 (2012).

[11] Garg, M., Manohar, P.: Matrix method for numerical solution of space-time fractional diffusion-wave equations with three space variables. Afr. Mat. **25**, 161-181 (2014).

[12] Hao, Z.P., Lin, G., Sun, Z.Z: A high-order difference scheme for the fractional sub-diffusion equation. Int. J. Comput. Math. **94**, 405-426 (2017).

[13] Hu, J.W., Tang, H.M.: Numerical Methods for Differential Equations. Science Press, Beijing, 1999.

[14] Ji, C.C., Sun, Z.Z.: A high-order compact finite difference shcemes for the fractional sub-diffusion equation. J. Sci. Comput. **64**, 959-985 (2015).

[15] Laub, A.J.: Matrix Analysis for Scientists and Engineers. SIAM, 2005.

[16] Liu, F., Meerschaert, M., McGough, R. Zhuang, P., Liu, Q.: Numerical methods for solving the multi-term time-fractional wave-diffusion equation. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **16**, 9-25 (2013).

[17] Lubich, Ch.: Discretized fractional calculus. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17**, 704-719 (1986).

[18] Mainardi, F.: Fractal calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics, In: Carpinteri, A., Mainardi, F. (Eds.), Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics. Springer, 1997.

[19] Mainardi, F., Luchko, Y., Pagnini G.: The fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **4**, 153-192 (2001).

[20] McLean, W., Thomée. V.: Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **35**, 23-70 (1993).

[21] Metzler, R., Nonnenmacher, T.F.: Space-and time-fractional diffusion and wave equations, fractional Fokker-Planck equations, and physical motivation. Chem. Phys. **284**, 67-90 (2002).

[22] Mustapha, K., Mclean, W.: Superconvergence of a discontinous galerkin method for fractional diffusion and wave equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **51**, 491-515 (2013).

[23] Ortigueira, M.D.: Riesz potential operators and inverses via fractional centred derivatives. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 1-12 (2006).

[24] Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York, 1999.

[25] Quarteroni, A., Valli, A.: Numerical Approximation of Partial Differential Equations. Springer, 2008.

[26] Sousa, E., Li, C.: A weighted finite difference method for the fractional diffusion equation based on the Riemann-Liouville drivative. Appl. Numer. Math. **90**, 22-37 (2015).

[27] Sun, Z.Z.: Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations. Science Press, Beijing, 2005.

[28] Tadjeran, C., Meerschaert, M.M., Scheffler, H.P.: A second-order accurate numerical approximation for the fractional diffusion equation. J. Comput. Phys. **213**, 205-213 (2006).

[29] Tarasov, V.E.: Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media. Higher Education Press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.

[30] Sun, Z.Z., Wu, X.N.: A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system. Appl. Numer. Math. **56**, 193-209 (2006).

[31] Tian, W.Y., Zhou, H., Deng, W.H.: A class of second order difference approximations for Solving space fractional diffusion equations. Math. Comput. **84**, 1703-1727 (2015).

[32] Wang, P.D., Huang, C.M.: An energy conservative difference scheme for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations. J. Comput. Phys. **293**, 238-251 (2015).

[33] Yang, J.Y., Huang, J.F., Liang, D.M., Tang, Y.F.: Numerical solution of fractional diffusion-wave equation based on fractional multistep method. Appl. Math. Modell. **38**, 3652-3661 (2014).

[34] Zeng, F.H.: Second-order stable finite difference schemes for the time-fractional diffusion-wave equation. J. Sci. Comput. **65**, 411-430 (2015).

[35] Zhang, Y.N., Sun, Z.Z., Zhao, X.: Compact alternating direction implicit scheme for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion-wave equation. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **50**, 1535-1555 (2012).